

Propagating torsion in the Einstein frame

Nikodem J. Popławski^{a)}

*Department of Physics, Indiana University, 727 East Third Street,
Bloomington, Indiana 47405*

(Received 28 June 2006; accepted 27 September 2006; published online 13 November 2006)

The Einstein–Cartan–Saa theory of torsion modifies the spacetime volume element so that it is compatible with the connection. The condition of connection compatibility gives constraints on torsion, which are also necessary for the consistence of torsion, minimal coupling, and electromagnetic gauge invariance. To solve the problem of positivity of energy associated with the torsionic scalar, we reformulate this theory in the Einstein conformal frame. In the presence of the electromagnetic field, we obtain the Hojman–Rosenbaum–Ryan–Shepley theory of propagating torsion with a different factor in the torsionic kinetic term. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2365788]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Einstein–Cartan theory, which extends general relativity to nonsymmetric connection, spin is the source of torsion.¹ The equations relating torsion and spin are algebraic and torsion does not propagate.² To allow such a propagation, we need a differential equation for the torsion tensor $S^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}$. This is usually achieved by modifying the Einstein–Hilbert action and introducing a scalar field related to the torsion vector $S_\nu = S^\mu{}_{\mu\nu}$.^{3–6} There are also models in which the torsion vector is proportional to the electromagnetic potential.^{7–9}

The Lagrangian density for a gravitational field can be, in principle, given by any scalar constructed from the curvature, connection, and metric. The standard Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian of general relativity is linear in the curvature scalar R and torsion enters the dynamics through R . Such a Lagrangian is very natural from a physical point of view since it is linear in the second derivatives of the metric tensor. These derivatives can be taken out of the action using the Gauß theorem, making the Lagrangian a function of the metric and its first derivatives only.¹⁰ The corresponding equations of field are thus second order. If the gravitational Lagrangian is a more general function of R or other curvature invariants, the field equations remain second order if we adopt the Palatini variational principle according to which the connection and metric are *a priori* independent quantities and we vary the action with respect to both of them.¹¹ In this approach, the connection arises from the field equations and is not metric compatible, $g_{\mu\nu;\rho} \neq 0$ (except the case where the Lagrangian is linear in R and there is no torsion).

The Palatini variation of connection imposes four algebraic constraints on the matter part of the action, which are caused by invariance of the curvature scalar under a projective transformation of the connection.^{12,13} These constraints lead to inconsistencies that can be eliminated by replacing the connection with its projective-invariant part.¹³ This procedure is equivalent to imposing the condition $S_\mu = 0$.¹⁴ In this case, we cannot associate the gradient of a scalar field with the torsion vector and induce the propagation of torsion. Therefore, we follow the metric variational principle and fix the connection by assuming its metricity, $g_{\mu\nu;\rho} = 0$.

In the presence of torsion, we must modify the covariant volume element so that it remains parallel.^{6,15} Such a modification is possible only if S_μ is the gradient of a scalar, which gives four equations of constraint on the torsion tensor.¹⁶ This condition is also necessary for the compat-

^{a)}E-mail: nipoplaw@indiana.edu

ibility of torsion and gauge invariance of the minimally coupled electromagnetic field (the Hojman–Rosenbaum–Ryan–Shepley or HRRS theory of propagating torsion).³ (According to the Palatini principle of minimal coupling, the electromagnetic field tensor is defined as $F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu,\mu} - A_{\mu,\nu}$ and does not generate torsion.¹⁴) A generalization of the HRRS theory to non-Abelian gauge fields has been done in Ref. 17.

In this paper we point out that the equations of field resulting from the action with the torsion-modified volume element violate positivity of energy for the torsionic scalar. The solution to this problem is to apply a conformal transformation of the original metric into a new metric in which the field equations have the form of those in general relativity.^{18,19} This new metric defines the *Einstein frame* while the original one defines the *Jordan frame*.²⁰ In Sec. II we review the Einstein–Cartan theory with the torsion-modified volume element. In Sec. III we reformulate this theory in the Einstein conformal frame and apply it to the gauge invariant electrodynamics in the presence of torsion. The results are briefly summarized in Sec. IV.

II. COVARIANT VOLUME IN THE PRESENCE OF TORSION

In general relativity, a minimally coupled theory is constructed by replacing the metric of special relativity $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ with the metric of general relativity $g_{\mu\nu}$, and by replacing ordinary derivatives with covariant derivatives (the comma–semicolon rule).¹⁰ The covariant derivative of a vector V_μ is defined as

$$V_{\nu;\mu} = V_{\nu,\mu} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\} V_\rho. \quad (1)$$

The coefficients $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\}$ are the Christoffel symbols:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\lambda} (g_{\nu\lambda,\mu} + g_{\mu\lambda,\nu} - g_{\mu\nu,\lambda}), \quad (2)$$

determined from the relation $g_{\mu\nu;\rho} = 0$. The colon denotes a covariant derivative with respect to the Christoffel symbols, and the comma denotes a usual derivative. In the presence of torsion $S^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{[\mu\nu]}$, the covariant derivative is given by

$$V_{\nu;\mu} = V_{\nu,\mu} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} V_\rho. \quad (3)$$

The semicolon denotes a covariant derivative with respect to the nonsymmetric connection, and the connection coefficients $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ are now

$$\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\} + S^\rho_{\mu\nu} - 2S_{(\mu\nu)}^\rho. \quad (4)$$

This relation results from the metric compatibility of the connection, $g_{\mu\nu;\rho} = 0$. [In the presence of torsion, the relation $g_{\mu\nu;\rho} = 0$ remains valid, which means that both covariant derivatives (with respect to $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\}$ and $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$, respectively) are tensors.] The difference $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} \rho \\ \mu \nu \end{matrix} \right\}$, which is a tensor, is called the contortion $K^\rho_{\mu\nu}$:

$$K^\rho_{\mu\nu} = S^\rho_{\mu\nu} - 2S_{(\mu\nu)}^\rho. \quad (5)$$

In a spacetime without torsion, a covariant volume element is $\sqrt{-g}d^4x$, and the scalar density $\sqrt{-g}$ is connection compatible (parallel): $(\sqrt{-g})_{;\mu} = 0$. When the spacetime is not torsionless, this element is not parallel since²¹

$$(\sqrt{-g})_{;\mu} = -2S_\mu^\nu \sqrt{-g}. \quad (6)$$

It is possible to find a parallel volume element if the torsion vector is the gradient of a scalar:^{15,16}

$$S_\mu = \theta_{,\mu}. \quad (7)$$

In this case we have

$$(e^{2\theta}\sqrt{-g})_{;\mu} = 0, \quad (8)$$

and the volume element becomes

$$dV_4 = e^{2\theta}\sqrt{-g}d^4x. \quad (9)$$

The contortion tensor (5) can be split into a traceless part and a trace (the torsion vector):

$$K_{\rho\mu\nu} = C_{\rho\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3}(S_{\rho}g_{\nu\mu} - S_{\nu}g_{\rho\mu}). \quad (10)$$

The Riemann–Cartan curvature tensor is given by

$$R^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho\nu}(\Gamma) = \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\mu,\rho} - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\mu,\nu} + \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\mu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\rho\kappa} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\rho\mu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\kappa}, \quad (11)$$

and its contractions are the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = R^{\rho}_{\mu\rho\nu}(\Gamma)$ and the curvature scalar $R(\Gamma, g) = R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)g^{\mu\nu}$. The curvature scalar $R(\Gamma, g)$ can be split into the Riemannian curvature scalar $R(g)$ (constructed from $\{\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}\}$ the same way as $R(\Gamma, g)$ is constructed from $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$) and the part that contains torsion:⁶

$$R(\Gamma, g) = R(g) - 4S^{\mu}_{;\mu} + \frac{16}{3}S_{\mu}S^{\mu} + C_{\mu\nu\rho}C^{\mu\rho\nu}. \quad (12)$$

The Lagrangian density for the gravitational field in the Einstein–Cartan–Saa theory with the torsion-modified volume element is given by⁶

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi}R(\Gamma, g)\sqrt{-g}e^{2\theta}, \quad (13)$$

where we use the units in which $c=G=1$. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is a total covariant divergence of a vector (with respect to the nonsymmetric connection $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$) and does not contribute to the field equations. [From Eq. (8) we obtain $\int S^{\mu}_{;\mu}\sqrt{-g}e^{2\theta}d^4x = \int (S^{\mu}\sqrt{-g}e^{2\theta})_{;\mu}d^4x$. The last integral can be transformed into an integral over a three-dimensional hypersurface, which vanishes when we use the principle of least action.] In the same equation we use the condition (7). For the reason explained in the next section, we replace the scalar field θ by another field ϕ such that

$$\theta = -\frac{3}{2}\phi. \quad (14)$$

The action for the gravitational field is thus

$$S_g = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}e^{-3\phi}\left(-\frac{1}{16\pi}R(g) - \frac{3}{4\pi}\phi_{;\mu}\phi^{\mu} - \frac{1}{16\pi}C_{\mu\nu\rho}C^{\mu\rho\nu}\right). \quad (15)$$

We note that if $C_{\mu\nu\rho}=0$, replacing the torsionic scalar by a new field $\varphi=e^{-3\phi}$ reproduces the Brans–Dicke action with $\omega=-\frac{4}{3}$.²²

III. SCALAR TORSION IN THE EINSTEIN FRAME

In the action (15), the kinetic term of the torsionic scalar field has the negative sign. By a sufficiently rapid change of ϕ with time, this term can consequently be made as large as one likes. The action would then decrease without limit, that is, there could be no minimum. This is a feature of many scalar–tensor theories of gravity in the metric variational formalism.^{19,20} To solve this problem, we need to apply a conformal transformation of the metric from the original Jordan frame to the Einstein frame. In the Einstein frame, the curvature scalar in the action is multiplied

by a constant only, and the equations of field have the form of the Einstein equations.¹⁸ Let us make a conformal transformation from the Jordan metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to a new metric $h_{\mu\nu}$:

$$h_{\mu\nu} = e^{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (16)$$

where λ is a function of the coordinates. The Jordan and Einstein curvature scalars are related by²³

$$R(g) = e^{\lambda} \left(R(h) + 3\lambda^{;\mu}_{;\mu} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{;\mu}\lambda^{;\mu} \right), \quad (17)$$

and all the quantities of the right-hand side of this equation are calculated using the new metric $h_{\mu\nu}$.

To eliminate the exponential function multiplying $R(g)$ in Eq. (15), we put

$$\lambda = -3\phi. \quad (18)$$

The action for the gravitational field in the Einstein frame becomes

$$S_g = \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left(-\frac{1}{16\pi} R(h) + \frac{3}{32\pi} \phi_{;\mu} \phi^{;\mu} - \frac{1}{16\pi} C_{\mu\nu\rho} C^{\mu\rho\nu} \right), \quad (19)$$

where the traceless part of the contortion in the new action is given by

$$K_{\rho\mu\nu} = C_{\rho\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3} (S_{\rho} h_{\nu\mu} - S_{\nu} h_{\rho\mu}). \quad (20)$$

[To obtain Eq. (19), we notice that we can rewrite (10) as $K_{\rho}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = C_{\rho}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \frac{2}{3} (S_{\rho} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - S_{\nu} \delta_{\rho}^{\mu})$ that does not contain the metric, and that $C_{\mu\nu\rho} C^{\mu\rho\nu}$ scales under the conformal transformation (16) like R .] The sign of the kinetic term for the scalar field is now positive. The action (19) differs from the expression obtained without using the parallel volume element (9) by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the scalar kinetic term.²⁴ (The action in Ref. 24 has $C_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$.)

Let us apply the obtained results to the case of the electromagnetic field coupled minimally to torsion. The electromagnetic field tensor is a spacetime without torsion given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu;\mu} - A_{\mu;\nu}, \quad (21)$$

and is invariant under a gauge transformation $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + \Lambda_{;\mu}$. In the presence of torsion, the principle of minimal coupling requires the following definition:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu;\mu} - A_{\mu;\nu} = A_{\nu;\mu} - A_{\mu;\nu} - 2S^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} A_{\rho}. \quad (22)$$

Such a tensor is invariant under a generalized gauge transformation,

$$A_{\mu} \rightarrow A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + e^{\phi} \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \Lambda_{;\nu}, \quad (23)$$

provided that the torsion tensor is given by³

$$S^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{\nu}^{\rho} \phi_{;\mu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\rho} \phi_{;\nu}). \quad (24)$$

This relation means that the torsion tensor is fully determined in terms of the torsion vector $S_{\mu} = S^{\nu}_{\nu\mu}$, and this vector has a potential, $S_{\mu} = -\frac{3}{2} \phi_{;\mu}$. The above constraint on torsion contains the condition for the existence of a parallel volume element (7), but is stronger. The tensor (22) becomes

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\nu;\mu} - A_{\mu;\nu} - A_{\nu} \phi_{;\mu} + A_{\mu} \phi_{;\nu}, \quad (25)$$

which looks more elegant if we use ϕ instead of θ .

The total gauge invariant action for the electromagnetic field and the gravitational field with torsion is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-h} \left(-\frac{1}{16\pi} R(h) + \frac{3}{32\pi} \phi_{,\mu} \phi^{,\mu} - \frac{3}{32\pi} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (26)$$

where we introduce a mass of the torsionic field^{24,25} (see the next paragraph). The equations of field are obtained from variation of $h_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ , and A^μ :

$$G_{\mu\nu}(h) = \frac{3}{2} \left(\phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{,\rho} \phi^{,\rho} h_{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{3}{4} m^2 \phi^2 h_{\mu\nu} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} h_{\mu\nu} - F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu}^{\rho} \right), \quad (27)$$

$$\phi^{;\mu}_{\mu} + m^2 \phi + \frac{4}{3} (F^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu})_{;\mu} = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$F^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} + F^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\nu} = 0, \quad (29)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}(h)$ is the Einstein tensor. They differ from the equations derived in the HRRS theory^{3,24} by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the torsionic scalar field terms. The last two equations yield

$$\phi^{;\mu}_{\mu} + m^2 \phi = -\frac{2}{3} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}. \quad (30)$$

The reason for the torsionic scalar field to be massive originates from the Eötvös–Dicke–Braginsky solar tests of the principle of equivalence²⁶ and the idea introduced in Ref. 25. For the scalar field of the Sun, Eq. (30) becomes

$$\nabla^2 \phi - m^2 \phi = \frac{1}{3} (\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2), \quad (31)$$

where \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} are the magnetic and electric field, respectively. The solution of Eq. (31) outside the Sun is

$$\phi = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^{-mr}}{r} E_{ne}, \quad (32)$$

where E_{ne} is the total nuclear electric energy of the Sun and other energies are negligible. The data from Ref. 26 give

$$\phi = 0.67 \times 10^{-4} U \cdot e^{-mr}, \quad (33)$$

where r is the distance from the Sun and U is the Newtonian potential. This expression modifies the relative acceleration between aluminum and gold/platinum²⁶ by the factor e^{-mR} , where R is the distance of the Earth from the Sun:

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{rel}} = 2 \times 10^{-7} \nabla U \cdot e^{-mR}. \quad (34)$$

To avoid violations of the principle of equivalence in the solar system and obtain a theory compatible with experiment, we need

$$2 \times 10^{-7} \nabla U \cdot e^{-mR} < 10^{-12} \nabla U. \quad (35)$$

This inequality gives the lower limit on the mass of the torsionic scalar field,²⁴

$$m > 10^{-25} \text{ GeV}, \quad (36)$$

in agreement with Ref. 25.

IV. SUMMARY

In the presence of torsion, a covariantly conserved volume element can be found if the torsion vector equals the gradient of a scalar. This condition gives four equations of constraint on the torsion tensor. Remarkably, the condition for the compatibility of the gauge invariant electromagnetic field coupled minimally to torsion contains this constraint. Another condition for this compatibility is that the traceless part of the contortion tensor must vanish. The last requirement is a consequence of the equations of field in the Jordan frame, if we use the electromagnetic field that does not couple to torsion. It is not the case for the minimal coupling between torsion and photons. A possible solution would be to assume that the electromagnetic field couples to the trace part of the torsion tensor only. Ultimately, we should obtain this requirement as a result of a variational principle.

The action integral over the torsion-modified volume element contains the kinetic term of the torsionic scalar field with the negative sign. By a sufficiently rapid change of this field with time, this term can consequently be made arbitrarily large, and the action would have no minimum. To solve this problem, we applied a conformal transformation of the metric from the original Jordan frame to the Einstein frame in which the left side of the field equations is that of general relativity. The new action acquired the correct, positive sign in the kinetic term for the torsionic scalar. The obtained field equations differ from those in the original HRRS theory by the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ in the torsionic scalar field terms. This difference does not affect the order of the minimal value for the mass m of the torsionic scalar required for the theory to be compatible with experiment.

The minimal value of m is way below the masses of known elementary particles. There is no upper limit for m , and the Higgs boson could be a good candidate for the particle corresponding to the torsionic scalar. Since the lower limit on the mass of the Higgs boson is on the order of 100 GeV, the deviations from the principle of equivalence would be unnoticeable (below 10^{-27} of present experimental precision).

We emphasize that the question of whether the electromagnetic field couples to torsion or not should be ultimately answered by experiment. We assumed that the principle of minimal coupling holds in the presence of torsion, which leads to the appearance of the EM–torsion coupling and constraints on the torsion tensor. Otherwise, torsion does not affect the electromagnetic field and gauge invariance of the latter is compatible with nonsymmetric connection under no additional constraints.

We mentioned that the HRRS procedure of combining electromagnetic gauge invariance with minimal coupling between the EM field and torsion has been generalized to non-Abelian gauge fields such as the Yang–Mills field. A possible coupling between spin, which generates torsion in the Einstein–Cartan theory, and the non-Abelian chromomagnetic field of QCD would play an important role in objects composed of fermionic matter at large densities. Neutron stars would be ideal candidates to study this coupling and determine whether gauge fields interact with torsion.

¹V. de Sabbata and M. Gasperini, *Introduction to Gravitation* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1986).

²F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393 (1976).

³S. Hojman, M. Rosenbaum, M. P. Ryan, and L. C. Shepley, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 3141 (1978).

⁴S. Hojman, M. Rosenbaum, and M. P. Ryan, Jr., *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 430 (1979).

⁵V. De Sabbata and M. Gasperini, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 2116 (1981).

⁶A. Saa, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **29**, 205 (1997).

⁷R. McKellar, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 356 (1979).

⁸R. T. Hammond, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, L195 (1989).

⁹R. T. Hammond, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7**, 2107 (1990).

¹⁰L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975).

¹¹A. Einstein, *The Principle of Relativity* (Dover, New York, 1923).

¹²E. Schrödinger, *Space-Time Structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1950).

¹³V. D. Sandberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **12**, 3013 (1975).

¹⁴T. P. Sotiriou and S. Liberati, *ArXiv: gr-qc/0604006*, 2006.

- ¹⁵R. A. Mosna and A. Saa, J. Math. Phys. **46**, 112502 (2005).
- ¹⁶A. Saa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **8**, 2565 (1993).
- ¹⁷C. Mukku and W. A. Sayed, Phys. Lett. B **82**, 382 (1979).
- ¹⁸G. Magnano and L. M. Sokolowski, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 5039 (1994).
- ¹⁹G. Magnano, ArXiv: gr-qc/9511027, 1995.
- ²⁰V. Faraoni and E. Gunzig, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 217 (1999).
- ²¹A. Saa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9**, 971 (1994).
- ²²C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).
- ²³R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **125**, 2163 (1962).
- ²⁴N. J. Popławski, ArXiv: gr-qc/0604125, 2006.
- ²⁵M. Gasperini, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **16**, 1031 (1984).
- ²⁶W. T. Ni, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2260 (1979).